

ADM Comparative Analysis

	Agent-Based Tools	Agentless But With Active Scanning	Native or Freemium Cloud Tools	F DDOM
Image: constraint of the second sec	Users need to open firewalls and deploy a third-party solution on their machines. Agent installation requires user access credentials for every OS instance on which you deploy. This exposes all internal data.	Users need to open firewalls and give server credentials. Sometimes they need Internet connections (through which data can leak out).	They always need Internet connections (so the third parties always have your information).	No need to open firewalls, provide server credentials, or connect to the Internet. Internal data does not need to leave your environment.
<image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Must install an agent on every OS instance (big environments will require many agents). This can be very difficult, time consuming, and challenging in large deployments.	Must give credentials and open firewalls to all servers. This is also difficult and time consuming in big environments. In segmented environments, it might force the creation of multiple deployments. It might not work with multiple Active Directory instances.	These tools are often agent-based or use agentless, active scanning, so they have the same problems.	Automated deployment and configuration of your environment. Faddom is fully deployed in under an hour.
Time to Value	Because of the complicated and lengthy deployment issues, it takes a long time before users see the value.	Because of the complicated and lengthy deployment issues, users will not see value immediately but will see it in the medium term.	Same. Will see value only in the medium or long term.	Users have immediate value and can see insights quickly once the deployment is complete.
<image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Agents are installed on your servers and can impact them. This adds overhead and can cause compataibility issues with some software. If a third party has a bug or crashes, it can affect performance by either creating overhead or crashing actual applications.	They access your servers and can impact them. They can have significant network overhead because they are scanning the entire network.	These tools are often agent-based or use agentless active scanning, so they have the same problems.	Faddom does not access your servers at all. It cannot impact them or impact the environment. Faddom works completely passively.
Contracy	Most accurate - but accurate only where it is installed. It does not provide a full view.	They have blind spots between scans. Not updated in real time. Firewalls blocking connections can cause these solutions to miss areas of the network.	Not accurate because they don't support all the platforms. (If you have an AWS tool but an Azure environment, this limits the use.)	Discovers all applications and their dependencies in detail in real time. All maps are continuously and automatically updated 24/7. No blind spots.
Scalability	A segmented environment may force you to deploy multiple servers to be able to cover everything. Might not be able to get everything in a single map. Deployment can be automatic, but it	It is only somewhat scalable because users have to give credentials and open firewalls. Bottlenecks can arise from one thing having to scan an entire network.	These tools are often agent-based or use agentless, active scanning, so they have the same problems.	With Faddom, it is easy to scale from a single server to a large data center. Faddom uses lightweight protocols that can scale to large environments easily.



Often more than \$100K per year. The high price often forces people to map only part of their environment to save money.

consideration.

Often more than \$100K per year. In addition, any segmented environments can force you to buy multiple licenses for same deployment.

They are free -- but only because of vendor lock-in that forces you to keep using them in the future.

Faddom has by far the best value for money in the market. Why pay 10x more? Only one license is needed to map an entire environment.

be automatic, but it scan an entire network. requires special